

**From:** [Eric Blischke](#)  
**To:** [Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com](mailto:Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com); [ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us](mailto:ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us); [rjw@nwnatural.com](mailto:rjw@nwnatural.com)  
**Cc:** [voster@anchorenv.com](mailto:voster@anchorenv.com); [jpisano@anchorenv.com](mailto:jpisano@anchorenv.com); [Chip Humphrey](#)  
**Subject:** Key Issues for PH Draft RI and BRA  
**Date:** 11/27/2007 05:37 PM

---

Jim, Rick and Bob, a couple of weeks ago, you asked for a summary of what we thought the thorny issues were for the draft RI and BRA reports. I have summarized these issues below. However, I would also recommend you go back to our preliminary list of Round 2 Report issues and data gaps that we sent to you on April 10, 2007. Although we have generally resolved the data gap piece, many of the issues we identified in April, are still out there today. That said, here is succinct summary:

**BERA:**

1. Evaluation of TZW: How should TZW be evaluated in the BERA? What else should be done beyond a comparison to AWQC?
2. WOE Evaluation for the ecological risk assessment.
3. Application of predictive models for benthic toxicity
4. Use of SQGs in SLERA
5. Refinement of the CSM, Assessment Endpoints and Measurement Endpoints
6. ERA Affects Assessment (e.g., TRVs)
7. ERA Exposure Assessment (e.g., exposure areas).
8. Use of SWACs in risk assessment.

**BHHRA:**

1. Direction on diver and breastmilk scenarios
2. Evaluation of TZW
3. Use of SWACs in risk assessment.

**RI:**

1. Evaluation of subsurface sediments. Is this evaluation performed everywhere or only in areas identified as erosional.
2. Integration of upland information
3. Evaluation of upriver data

**FS:**

1. Development of PRGs and AOPCs/SMAs
2. Food Web Model parameterization and application.
3. Development of BSAFs. Improving reliability of BSAFs
4. Hilltopping and other measures of estimating post cleanup EPCs
5. Fate and transport/hybrid model

**Data Presentation**

1. Use of Screening Levels and PRGs
2. Magnitude of Risk Presentation
3. Chemicals presented in maps figures

I hope this information helps. Please keep in mind that the above items are not created equal. Some pieces should be fairly straight forward - e.g., diver and breastmilk scenarios. Others are technically complex and challenging - e.g., WOE evaluation for ERA.

We look forward to discussing this with you as well as your list of what comments are the highest priority with respect to report development.

Thanks, Eric